



PRINTER RUSH

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application : 09/93729

Examiner : SGVAGJ

GAU : 1724

From : CA

Location : (IDC) FMF FDC

Date : 12/23/2004

Tracking # : 06037523 Week Date : 11/08/2004

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> CLM	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW	_____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>Abstract</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> 312	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC	_____	

[RUSH] MESSAGE: Two different abstracts dated
9-20-01. which should be used and which
should be cancelled?

Please Resolve
Thank You
CA

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: Please use the attached abstract that is
mentioned in the remarks section of the preliminary amendment
filed on 9-20-01 and is part of that preliminary amendment.

INITIALS: mg

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04